

| | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/914,471 | | Applicant(s) YAMAMOTO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Holly Rickman | | Art Unit 1773 | |
| | | | | |

All Participants:

(1) Holly Rickman.

(2) John Altmiller.

Date of Interview: 3 February 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 4:15 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 112, 2nd paragraph rej. of claims 2 and 7

Claims discussed:
 2,7

Prior art documents discussed:
 none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Mr. Altmiller agreed to the deletion of the term "type" from claims 2 and 7 in order to overcome the 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of record and put the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)